IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B.PRAKASH RAO

WRIT PETITION NO : 9389 of 2004

Between:
V.M.Kari Basava Swamy, S/o.V.M.Revana Siddaiah,
C/o.Sri Virakthi Mutham, Konakandla (V)
Vajra Karur Mandal, Anantapur Dist

...
PETITIONER

AND
1 The Commissioner, Endowments Dept., Hyderabad

2 The Deputy Commissioner, Endowments Dept., Kurnool

3 The Asst. Commissioner, Endowments Dept., Kurnool

4 B.Babu, Manager, Group of Temples, Vajra Karur,
Anantapur Dist

5 V.M.Thippe Swamy, Agent,
Virakthi Mutt Konakandla Village,
Vajra Karur Mandal, Anantapur Dist

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents herein in putting the lands of Sri Virakthi Mattam without having any manner of right whatsoever by colluding with the Agent of the said Virakthi Matham, who do not have any manner of right and without including the Mutt in list required U/sec.6 & 15 of the Endowments Act, as illegal, improper, arbitrary and against principles of natural justice and all canons of justice besides being violative of Art.14,19,21, & 301

of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents to restrain from leasing out the lands belonging to Virakthi Mutham, Konakandla, situated at various places of Kurnool and Anantapur Districts.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.R.RAMANJANEYULU (not present).

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3: GP FOR ENDOWMENTS

Counsel for the Respondent no.4: Mr.V.Venugopala Rao

For the Respondent no.5: None appeared.

The Court made the following :

O R D E R :

None appeared though the matter came up "for orders" on several occasions. Even today, when the matter came up, none appeared for petitioner. No representation on his behalf.

Hence, the Writ Petition is dismissed for default. No costs.

21.09.2004

DRK

Copy to:

1 The Commissioner, Endowments Dept., Hyderabad
2 The Deputy Commissioner, Endowments Dept., Kurnool
3 The Asst. Commissioner, Endowments Dept., Kurnool
4 Two CCs to the G.P. for Endowments, High Court Buildings, High Court of
A.P., Hyderabad (o.u.t.).

5 Two CD copies.